arXiv:1701.03189v1 [math.NT] 11 Jan 2017

Identities between Hecke Eigenforms

D. Bao

January 13, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we study solutions to $h = af^2 + bfg + g^2$, where f, g, h are Hecke newforms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$ of weight $k > 2$ and $a, b \neq 0$. We show that the number of solutions is finite for all N. Assuming Maeda's conjecture, we prove that the Petersson inner product $\langle f^2, g \rangle$ is nonzero, where f and g are any nonzero cusp eigenforms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight k and $2k$, respectively. As a corollary, we obtain that, assuming Maeda's conjecture, identities between cusp eigenforms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of the form $X^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i Y_i = 0$ all are forced by dimension considerations. We also give a proof using polynomial identities between eigenforms that the j-function is algebraic on zeros of Eisenstein series of weight $12k$.

1 Introduction

Fourier coefficients of Hecke eigenforms often encode important arithmetic information. Given an identity between eigenforms, one obtains nontrivial relations between their Fourier coefficients and may in further obtain solutions to certain related problems in number theory. For instance, let $\tau(n)$ be the nth Fourier coefficient of the weight 12 cusp form Δ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\Delta = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n$$

and define the weight 11 divisor sum function $\sigma_{11}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{11}$. Then the Ramanujan congruence

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \mod 691$$

can be deduced easily from the identity

$$E_{12} - E_6^2 = \frac{1008 \times 756}{691}\Delta,$$

where E_6 (respectively E_{12}) is the Eisenstein series of weight 6 (respectively 12) for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Specific polynomial identities between Hecke eigenforms have been studied by many authors. Duke [7] and Ghate [9] independently investigated identities of the form $h = fg$ between eigenforms with respect to the full modular group $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and proved that there are only 16 such identities. Emmons [8] extended the search to $\Gamma_0(p)$ and found 8 new cases. Later Johnson [11] studied the above equation for Hecke eigenforms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$ and obtained a complete list of 61 eigenform product identities. J. Beyerl and K. James and H. Xue [2] studied the problem of when an eigenform for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is divisible by another eigenform and proved that this can only occur in very special cases. Recently, Richey and Shutty [14] studied polynomial identities and showed that for a fixed polynomial (excluding trivial ones), there are only finitely many decompositions of normalized Hecke eigenforms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ described by a given polynomial.

Since the product of two eigenforms is rarely an eigenform, in this paper we loosen the constraint and study solutions to the equation $h = P(f, g)$, where h, f, g are Hecke eigenforms and P is a general degree two polynomial. The ring of modular forms is graded by weight, therefore P is necessarily homogeneous, i.e., $P(f, g) = af^2 + bfg + cg^2$. With proper normalization, we can assume $c = 1$.

The first main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, there are at most finitely triples (f, g, h) of newforms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$ of weight $k > 2$ satisfying the equation*

$$h = af^2 + bfg + g^2. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1.1 is obtained by estimating the Fourier coefficients of cusp eigenforms and its proof is presented in Section 3. In the same section, as further motivation for studying identities between eigenforms, we also give an elementary proof via identities that:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $E_{12k}(z)$ be the Eisenstein series of weight $12k$, and*

$$A := \{\rho \in \mathfrak{F} | E_{12k}(\rho) = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$$

be the set of zeros for all Eisenstein series of weight $12k$ with $k \geq 1$ in the fundamental domain $\mathfrak{F}$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If j is the modular j-function and $\rho \in A$, then $j(\rho)$ is an algebraic number.

For a stronger result, which says that $j(\rho)$ is algebraic for any zero ρ of a meromorphic modular form $f = \sum_{n=h}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $a_n(h) = 1$ for which all coefficients lie in a number field, see Corollary 2 of the paper by Bruinier, Kohnen and Ono [3].

Fourier coefficients of a normalized Hecke eigenform are all algebraic integers. As for Galois symmetry between Fourier coefficients of normalized eigenforms in the space $\mathcal{S}_k$ of cusp forms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight $k \geq 12$, Maeda (see [10] Conjecture 1.2) conjectured that:

Conjecture 1.3. *The Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathcal{S}_k$ is simple (that is, a single number field) whose Galois closure over $\mathbb{Q}$ has Galois group isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$, where $n = \dim \mathcal{S}_k$.*

Maeda's conjecture can be used to study polynomial identities between Hecke eigenforms. See J.B. Conrey and D.W. Farmer [4] and J. Beyerl and K. James and H. Xue [2] for some previous work. In this paper, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Assuming Maeda's conjecture for $\mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2k}$, if $f \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $g \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$ are any nonzero cusp eigenforms, then the Petersson inner product $\langle f^2, g \rangle$ is nonzero.*

Theorem 1.4 says that, assuming Maeda's conjecture, the square of a cusp eigenform for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is "unbiased", i.e., it does not lie in the subspace spanned by a proper subset of an eigenbasis. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is presented in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries and Convention

A standard reference for this section is [6]. Let f be a holomorphic function on the upper half plane $\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$. Let $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then define the slash operator $[\gamma]_k$ of weight k as

$$f|_k[\gamma](z) := (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z).$$

If Γ is a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we say that f is a modular form of weight k with respect to Γ if $f|_k[\gamma](z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Define

$$\Gamma_1(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod N \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| c \equiv 0 \mod N \right\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ the vector space of weight k modular forms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$.

Let χ be a Dirichlet character mod N, i.e., a homomorphism $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. By convention one extends the definition of χ to $\mathbb{Z}$ by defining $\chi(m) = 0$ for $\gcd(m, N) > 1$. In particular the trivial character modulo N extends to the function

$$\nVdash_N(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(n, N) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(n, N) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Take $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and define the diamond operator

$$\langle n \rangle : \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \to \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$$

as $\langle n \rangle f := f|_k[\gamma]$ for any $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(N)$ with $d \equiv n \mod N$. Define the χ eigenspace

$$\mathcal{M}_k(N, \chi) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) | \langle n \rangle f = \chi(n) f, \forall n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times\}.$$

Then one has the following decomposion:

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{M}_k(N, \chi),$$

where χ runs through all Dirichlet characters mod N such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. See Section 5.2 of [6] for details.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$, since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_1(N)$, one has a Fourier expansion:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f) q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}.$$

If $a_0 = 0$ in the Fourier expansion of $f|_k[\alpha]$ for all $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then f is called a cusp form. For a modular form $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ the Petersson inner product of f with $g \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is defined by the formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_1(N)} \overline{f(z)} g(z) y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

where $z = x+iy$ and $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_1(N)$ is the quotient space. See [6] Section 5.4.

We define the space $\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ of weight k Eisenstein series with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$ as the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ with respect to the Petersson inner product, i.e., one has the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \oplus \mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N)).$$

We also have decomposition of the cusp space and the space of Eisenstein series in terms of χ eigenspaces:

$$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{S}_k(N, \chi),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{E}_k(N, \chi).$$

See Section 5.11 of [6].

For two Dirichlet characters ψ modulo u and φ modulo v such that $uv|N$ and $(\psi\varphi)(-1) = (-1)^k$ define

$$E_k^{\psi,\varphi}(z) = \delta(\psi)L(1-k,\varphi) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_{k-1}^{\psi,\varphi}(n)q^n, \tag{2}$$

where $\delta(\psi)$ is 1 if $\psi = \not\Vdash_1$ and 0 otherwise,

$$L(1-k,\varphi) = -\frac{B_{k,\varphi}}{k}$$

is the special value of the Dirichlet L function at $1-k$, $B_{k,\varphi}$ is the kth generalized Bernoulli number defined by the equality

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} B_{k,\varphi}\frac{t^k}{k!} := \sum_{a=0}^{N-1}\frac{\varphi(a)te^{at}}{e^{Nt}-1},$$

and the generalized power sum in the Fourier coefficient is

$$\sigma_{k-1}^{\psi,\varphi}(n) = \sum_{\substack{m|n\\ m>0}}\psi(n/m)\varphi(m)m^{k-1}.$$

See page 129 in [6].

Let $A_{N,k}$ be the set of triples of (ψ,φ,t) such that ψ and φ are primitive Dirichlet characters modulo u and v with $(\psi\varphi)(-1) = (-1)^k$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $tuv|N$. For any triple $(\psi,\varphi,t) \in A_{N,k}$, define

$$E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t}(z) = E_k^{\psi,\varphi}(tz). \tag{3}$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and let $k \geq 3$. The set

$$\{E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t} : (\psi,\varphi,t) \in A_{N,k}\}$$

gives a basis for $\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ and the set

$$\{E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t} : (\psi,\varphi,t) \in A_{N,k}, \psi\varphi = \chi\}$$

gives a basis for $\mathcal{E}_k(N,\chi)$ (see Theorem 4.5.2 in [6]).

For $k = 2$ and $k = 1$ an explicit basis can also be obtained but is more technical. Therefore we assume $k \geq 3$ when dealing with Eisenstein series. For details about the remaining two cases see Chapter 4 in [6].

Now we define Hecke operators. For a reference see page 305 in [12] . Define $\Delta_m(N)$ to be the set

$$\left\{\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) | c \equiv 0 \mod N, \det\gamma = m\right\}.$$

Then $\Delta_m(N)$ is invariant under right multiplication by elements of $\Gamma_0(N)$. One checks that the set

$$\mathscr{S} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) | a,d > 0, ad = m, b = 0,\ldots,d-1\right\}$$

forms a complete system of representatives for the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$. One defines the Hecke operator $T_m \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}_k(N,\chi))$ as:

$$f \mapsto f|_k T_m = m^{k/2-1}\sum_\sigma \chi(a_\sigma) f|_k\sigma,$$

where $\sigma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_\sigma & b_\sigma \\ c_\sigma & d_\sigma \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\gcd(m,N) = 1$.

One can compute the action of T_m explicitly in terms of the Fourier expansion:

$$f|_k T_m = a_0\sum_{m_1|m}\chi(m_1)m_1^{k-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m_1|(m,n)}\chi(m_1)m_1^{k-1}a_{mn/m_1^2}q^n.$$

The multiplication rule for weight k operators T_m is as follows:

$$T_m T_n = \sum_{m_1|(m,n)} \chi(m_1) m_1^{k-1} T_{mn/m_1^2}. \tag{4}$$

An eigenform $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N,\chi)$ is defined as the simultaneous eigenfunction of all T_m with $\gcd(m,N)=1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N,\chi)$ is an eigenform for the Hecke operators with character χ, i.e., if

$$f|_k T_m = \lambda_f(m) f \quad (\gcd(m,N)=1), \tag{5}$$

then equation (4) implies that

$$\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{m_1|(m,n)} \chi(m_1) m_1^{k-1} \lambda_f(mn/m_1^2).$$

Comparing the Fourier coefficients in equation (5), one sees that

$$a_0 \sum_{m_1|m} \chi(m_1) m_1^{k-1} = \lambda_f(m) a_0, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{m_1|(m,n)} \chi(m_1) m_1^{k-1} a_{mn/m_1^2} = \lambda_f(m) a_n.$$

For $n=1$ one then has

$$a_m = \lambda_f(m) a_1. \tag{7}$$

Therefore if $a_1 \neq 0$ and we normalize f such that $a_1 = 1$, then $a_m = \lambda_m(f)$.

Eisenstein series are eigenforms. Let $E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t}$ be the Eisenstein series defined by equation (3). Then

$$T_p E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t} = (\psi(p) + \varphi(p) p^{k-1}) E_k^{\psi,\varphi,t}$$

if $uv = N$ or $p \nmid N$. See Proposition 5.2.3 in [6].

Let $M|N$ and $d|N/M$. Let $\alpha_d = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then for any $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$

$$(f|_k[\alpha_d])(z) = d^{k-1} f(dz)$$

defines a linear map $[\alpha_d]$ taking $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(M))$ to $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$.

Definition 2.1. *Let d be a divisor of N and let i_d be the map*

$$i_d : \quad (\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(Nd^{-1})))^2 \to \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$$

given by

$$(f, g) \mapsto f + g|_k[\alpha_d].$$

The subspace of oldforms of level N is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) := \sum_{\substack{p|N \\ p \text{ prime}}} i_p((\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^{-1})))^2)$$

and the subspace of newforms at level N is the orthogonal complement with respect to the Petersson inner product,

$$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new} = (\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{old})^{\perp}.$$

See Section 5.6 in [6].

Let ι_d be the map $(\iota_d f)(z) = f(dz)$. The main lemma in the theory of newforms due to Atkin and Lehner [1] is the following:

Theorem 2.2. *(Thm. 5.7.1 in [6]) If $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ has Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum a_n(f) q^n$ with $a_n(f) = 0$ whenever $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, then f takes the form $f = \sum_{p|N} \iota_p f_p$ with each $f_p \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N/p))$.*

Definition 2.3. *A newform is a normalized eigenform in $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new}$.*

By Theorem 2.2, if $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N, \chi)$ is a Hecke eigenform with $a_1(f) = 0$, then f is not a newform.

3 Identities between Hecke eigenforms

In this section we study solutions to equation (1) with f, g, h being newforms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$. The first observation is that if $a_f(0) = a_g(0) = 0$ then $a_h(1) = 0$, which implies $h = 0$ by equation (7), hence without loss of generality we can assume that $a_g(0) \neq 0$. We normalize g such that $a_g(0) = 1$. In the following we talk about two cases according to whether or not $a_f(0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that g, f, h satisfies equation (1) with f and g linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$, and let χ_g, χ_f, χ_h be the Dirichlet characters associated with g, f, h respectively. Then $\chi_h = \chi_g^2 = \chi_f^2 = \chi_f\chi_g$.*

Proof. Take the diamond operator $\langle d\rangle$ and act on the identity $h = af^2 + bfg + g^2$ to obtain

$$\chi_h(d)h = a\chi_f^2(d)f^2 + b\chi_f(d)\chi_g(d)fg + \chi_g^2(d)g^2.$$

We then substitute (1) for h to obtain

$$a(\chi_h(d) - \chi_f^2(d))f^2 + b(\chi_h(d) - \chi_g(d)\chi_f(d))fg + (\chi_h(d) - \chi_g^2(d))g^2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

Note that since f and g are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$, so are f^2, fg and g^2, which one can easily prove by considering the Wronksian. Then equation (8) implies that

$$a(\chi_h(d) - \chi_f^2(d)) = b(\chi_h(d) - \chi_g(d)\chi_f(d)) = \chi_h(d) - \chi_g^2(d) = 0.$$

Since $a, b \neq 0$, one finds

$$\chi_h(d) = \chi_f^2(d) = \chi_f(d)\chi_g(d) = \chi_g^2(d).$$

Since d is arbitrary we are done. □

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that the triple of newforms (f, g, h) is a solution to (1), $a_f(0) \neq 0$ and $a_g(0) \neq 0$. Then $f = g$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, f and g have the same character. Denote it by χ. Note that the space of weight k newforms with character χ with nonvanishing constant term is of dimension 1 with basis $E_k^{\not\leq_1,\chi}$ by equation (6). Since f and g are normalized, we have $f = g$. □

Remark. *If $f = g$, then equation (1) reduces to $h = fg$, which was classified in [11].*

Now we focus on the case where $a_f(0) = 0$. We have the following solutions forced by dimension considerations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_k(N, \chi)$ be two eigenforms that are algebraically independent, and assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_{2k}(N, \chi^2) \leq 3$. Then every eigenform $h \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}(N, \chi^2)$ satisfies an identity of the form $h = af^2 + bfg + cg^2$.*

Recall that the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ can be computed by the Riemann-Roch Theorem [16]. If $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it reads:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_k = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \mod 12 \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence for $k = 12$ or 16 one obtains the following examples computed by Sage [5]:

$$E_{24} = a\Delta^2 + bE_{12}\Delta + E_{12}^2,$$
$$a = -\frac{2^{14} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 1571}{103 \cdot 691^2 \cdot 2294797}, \qquad b = -\frac{2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 37}{103 \cdot 691 \cdot 2294797},$$

$$E_{32} = a(E_4\Delta)^2 + b(E_4\Delta)E_{16} + E_{16}^2,$$
$$a = \frac{-2^{18} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 4273}{37 \cdot 683 \cdot 3617^2 \cdot 305065927},$$
$$b = \frac{-2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 1433}{37 \cdot 683 \cdot 3617 \cdot 305065927},$$

where $\Delta = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} q(1-q^n)^{24}$ is the cusp form of weight 12 for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$E_k = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n$$

is the Eisenstein series of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The following two results follows from earlier work by Bruinier, Kohnen and Ono [3], which describes remarkable algebraic information contained in the zeros of Hecke eigenforms. Our independent proof starts from the point of view of polynomial identities.

Let

$$A := \{\rho \in \mathfrak{F} | E_{12k}(\rho) = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$$

be the set of zeros of Eisenstein series of weight $12k$ that are contained in the fundamental domain $\mathfrak{F}$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By work of Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer [13] we know that $A \subset \{e^{i\theta} | \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *For all $\rho \in A$, $E_{12}(\rho)/\Delta(\rho)$ is an algebraic number.*

Proof. Note that the $n+1$ monomials $E_{12}(z)^{n-l}\Delta(z)^l$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$ by considering the order of vanishing at infinity. One also has $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{12n} = n+1$, so the above monomials form a basis for M_{12n}. Therefore there exist $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n$ such that

$$E_{12n}(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} a_l E_{12}(z)^{n-l} \Delta(z)^l. \tag{9}$$

For $\rho \in A$ we have $E_{12n}(\rho) = 0$. Divide equation 9 by $\Delta(\rho) \neq 0$ to obtain

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n} a_l \left(E_{12}(\rho)/\Delta(\rho)\right)^{n-l} = 0. \tag{10}$$

To show that $E_{12}(\rho)/\Delta(\rho)$ is algebraic, it suffices to show that all the a_l are rational. One sees this by the following algorithm to compute a_l:

First $a_0 = 1$ by considering the constant term.

Suppose $a_0, \cdots, a_l$ are all rational. Then the Fourier coefficients of the function $E_{12n}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k E_{12}(z)^{n-k}\Delta(z)^k$ are all rational since each term has rational Fourier coefficients. Further we know that the order of the function $E_{12n}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k E_{12}(z)^{n-k}\Delta(z)^k$ at $i\infty$ is $O(q^{l+1})$. Now set

$$a_{l+1} = \left.\frac{E_{12n}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k E_{12}(z)^{n-k}\Delta(z)^k}{\Delta^{l+1}}\right|_{i\infty}$$

as the constant term. Then one sees that a_{l+1} is rational since the Fourier coefficients of the function

$$\frac{E_{12n}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k E_{12}(z)^{n-k}\Delta(z)^k}{\Delta^{l+1}}$$

are all rational. □

Corollary 3.5. *For all $\rho \in A$, the function value of the discriminant $j(\rho)$ is algebraic.*

Proof. By the following well known identities:

$$\Delta(z) = \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728}$$

$$j(z) = \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta(z)}$$

$$E_{12}(z) = \frac{441E_4^3 + 250E_6^2}{691}$$

one then computes

$$\frac{E_{12}(z)}{\Delta(z)} - j(z) = -\frac{432000}{691}.$$

Therefore $j(\rho) = \dfrac{E_{12}(\rho)}{\Delta(\rho)} + \dfrac{432000}{691}$ is an algebraic number by Theorem 3.4. □

Now we prove the finiteness result stated in the introduction.

Theorem 3.6. *For all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, there are at most finitely triples (f, g, h) of newforms with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$ of weights $k > 2$ satisfying the equation $h = af^2 + bfg + g^2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 and the remark thereafter we only need to consider the case where $a_f(0) = 0$ and g is an Eisenstein series . Let $g = E_k^{\not\Vdash,\varphi}$, $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N,\varphi)$, $h = E_{2k}^{\not\Vdash,\varphi^2}$. For a fixed N and k, the number of triples of such newforms (f,g,h) is finite. We first show that k is bounded. Consider the Fourier expansions

$$f = q + O(q^2)$$
$$g = 1 + \beta\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}^{\varphi}(n) q^n\right)$$
$$h = 1 + \alpha\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}^{\varphi^2}(n) q^n\right)$$

where

$$\alpha = -\frac{4k}{B_{2k,\varphi^2}}, \tag{11}$$
$$\beta = -\frac{2k}{B_{k,\varphi}}, \tag{12}$$
$$\sigma_{k-1}^{\varphi}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) d^{k-1}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of q on both sides of the equation $h = af^2 + bfg + g^2$, one obtains

$$b + 2\beta = \alpha. \tag{13}$$

Recall that we have the following bound for the generalized Bernoulli number $B_{k,\chi}$, where χ is a primitive character with conductor l_χ and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$:

$$2\zeta(2k)\zeta(k)^{-1}k!(2\pi)^{-k} l_\chi^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \leq |B_{k,\chi}| \leq 2\zeta(k)k!(2\pi)^{-k} l_\chi^{k-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{14}$$

See [11]. Applying Stirling's formula in equation(14) and using the fact that $\zeta(2k)/\zeta(k)$ is bounded one sees that $|B_{k,\chi}|$ increases quickly as $k \to \infty$. Then by equation (11) and (12) one sees that $\alpha, \beta \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. The convergence is uniform for all l_χ, hence for a fixed $b \neq 0$, k is bounded for all N.

For each fixed k, if $l_\chi \to \infty$, then $|B_{k,\chi}| \to \infty$ by equation (14). Then equation (11)-(13) show that l_χ is bounded. Note that g, h are newforms, φ and φ^2 are necessarily primitive, i.e., $l_\varphi = l_{\varphi^2} = N$. This shows that N is bounded for each fixed k. Hence there can only be finitely many pairs (N,k). Since for each pair there are only finitely many tuples of newforms, this shows the finiteness as claimed. □

4 Maeda's conjecture and its applications

In this section, following J.B. Conrey and D.W. Farmer [4], we will show how Maeda's conjecure provides information about Hecke eigenform identities. Throughout this section, all eigenforms are eigenforms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and we will write $\mathcal{S}_k$ instead of $\mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$.

Recall that Maeda's conjecture (see [10] Conjecture 1.2) says the following:
Conjecture 4.1. *The Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathcal{S}_k$ is simple (that is, a single number field) whose Galois closure over $\mathbb{Q}$ has Galois group isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$, where $n = \dim \mathcal{S}_k$.*

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Assuming Maeda's conjecture for $\mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2k}$, if $f \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $g \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$ are any nonzero cusp eigenforms, then the Petersson inner product $\langle f^2, g\rangle$ is nonzero.*

An immediate corollary of Theorem 4.2 is:

Theorem 4.3. *Assuming Maeda's conjecture for all $\mathcal{S}_k$, then identities between cusp eigenforms for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of the form $f^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i g_i$ are all forced by dimension considerations, where $f \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $g_i \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$ and $c_i \neq 0$. In particular, there are only two identities of the form $f^2 = a_1 g_1 + a_2 g_2$ given by Table 1.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we know that $\langle f^2, g_i\rangle \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, \dim \mathcal{S}_{2k}$. Then we have $d = \dim \mathcal{S}_{2k}$, i.e., the given identity is forced by dimension considerations. In particular, if $f^2 = a_1 g_1 + a_2 g_2$, then $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$ since f^2 is not itself an eigenform. Then, by Maeda's conjecture we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_{2k} = 2$, and so $k = 12$ or 16. See Table 1 for the data on the two cases, which we computed with Sage [5]. □

We set up notation following [4] before giving the proof of Theorem 4.2.

f	g_1	g_2	$a_1 = -a_2$
Δ	$E_{12}\Delta + (12\sqrt{144169} + \frac{32404}{691})\Delta^2$	$\sigma(g_1)$	$\frac{24}{\sqrt{144169}}$
$E_4\Delta$	$\Delta(xE_4^5 + (1-x)E_4^2E_6^2)$	$\sigma(g_1)$	$\frac{24}{\sqrt{18295489}}$
$x = \frac{12\sqrt{18295489}+20532}{1728}$, σ is the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$			

Table 1

Recall that $\Delta = q\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)$ is the discriminant and

$$E_4 = 1 + 240\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_3(n)q^n$$

is the Eisenstein series of weight 4. Then the set

$$B_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{\Delta^j E_4^{\frac{k}{4}-3j}\}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{S}_k$ with integer Fourier coefficients [4]. The matrix representation of the Hecke operator T_n in the basis $B_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has integer entries, hence the characteristic polynomial $T_{n,k}(x)$ of T_n acting on $\mathcal{S}_k$ has integer coefficients and the eigenvalues a_n of T_n are all algebraic integers [4]. Let $d = \dim \mathcal{S}_k$. One defines the Hecke field F associated with $\mathcal{S}_k$ by

$$F := \mathbb{Q}(a_j(n) : 1 \le j \le d, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

The following two lemmas proved in [4] play an important role in this section.

Lemma 4.4. *The Hecke field F equals $\mathbb{Q}(a_j(n) : 1 \le j, n \le d)$. In particular, F is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.*

The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on functions with Fourier coefficients in F in the following way:

$$\sigma\left(\sum a_n q^n\right) = \sum \sigma(a_n)q^n. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 4.5. *The group $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the set of $B = \{f_1, \cdots, f_d\}$ of normalized cusp eigenforms. If $T_{n,k}(x)$ is irreducible for some n, then the action is transitive. Furthermore, if $T_{n,k}(x)$ is irreducible then $F/\mathbb{Q}$ is the splitting field of $T_{n,k}(x)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Gal}(T_{n,k})$.*

Now we begin the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_k$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform. Since $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$ we expand f^2 in a normalized eigenbasis to obtain

$$f^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d_2} c_i g_i \tag{16}$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d_2 = \dim \mathcal{S}_{2k}$. Let F_1 (resp. F_2) be the Hecke field for $\mathcal{S}_k$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{2k}$) and F_1F_2 be the composite field of F_1 and F_2. Then we have the following:

Lemma 4.6. *$c_i \in F_1F_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_2$.*

Proof. Comparing the Fourier coefficients in equation (16), one obtains the linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d_2} c_i a_n(g_i) = a_n(f^2) \tag{17}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq d_2$. We claim that the coefficient matrix $(a_n(g_i))_{1\leq n,i\leq d_2}$ is nonsingular. Assume our claim for now. Solve c_i by Cramer's rule and note that $a_n(g_i) \in F_2$ and $a_n(f^2) \in F_1$, then one sees $c_i \in F_1F_2$.

Now we prove our claim. Suppose for a contradiction that the coefficient matrix $(a_n(g_i))_{1\leq n,i\leq d_2}$ is singular, then there exit $c_1, \cdots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$0 = \sum_i c_i a_n(g_i) = a_n(\sum_i c_i g_i)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq d_2$, i.e., the cusp form $g := \sum_i c_i g_i \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$ satisfies $a_n(g) = 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq d_2$. The order of vanishing of g at ∞ satisfies $v_\infty(g) \geq d_2 + 1 = \lfloor \frac{2k}{12} \rfloor + 1$. Recall that the valence formula (Theorem VII. 3.(iii) in [15]) for g reads

$$v_\infty(g) + \frac{1}{2}v_i(g) + \frac{1}{3}v_\omega(g) + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{H}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \tau \neq i,\omega}} v_\tau(g) = \frac{2k}{12}.$$

Since all the terms on the left are positive, one obtains the contradiction $\lfloor \frac{2k}{12} \rfloor + 1 \leq \frac{2k}{12}$. This proves our claim, and hence the lemma. ∎

Lemma 4.7. *If the stabilizer of f^2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on the set $B_2 = \{g_1, \cdots, g_{d_2}\}$ of cusp eigenforms of weight $2k$, then we have $\langle f^2, g_i\rangle \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_2$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction $\langle f^2, g_i\rangle = 0$. For equation (16) we take the inner product with g_i and use the orthogonality relation $\langle g_i, g_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ to find $c_i = 0$. Then transitivity gives $c_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_2$, which implies that $f^2 = 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 4.8. *Assuming Maeda's conjecture for $\mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2k}$, the stabilizer of f^2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on the set B_2 of cusp eigenforms of weight $2k$.*

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_1/\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \big| \sigma_1|_{F_1\cap F_2} = \sigma_2|_{F_1\cap F_2}\}.$$

Note that $F_1 \cap F_2$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$,since F_1, F_2 are both Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, and by elementry Galois theory, the subgroup H_2 of $\mathrm{Gal}(F_2/\mathbb{Q})$ that fixes $F_1 \cap F_2$ is normal. Assuming Maeda's conjecture, we have $\mathrm{Gal}(F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$, $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{d_1}$, where $d_1 = \dim \mathcal{S}_k$ and $d_2 = \dim \mathcal{S}_{2k}$.

If $d_2 \geq 5$, then the only normal subgroups of $\mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$ are $\mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$, the alternating group A_{d_2} and $\{1\}$. Since $F_1 \cap F_2 \subsetneq F_2$ by degree considerations, we have $H_2 \neq \{1\}$, therefore $H_2 \cong A_{d_2}$ or $H_2 \cong \mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$.

If $H_2 \cong \mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$, then $F_1 \cap F_2 = \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(F_1/\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{d_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{d_2},$$

using Maeda's conjecture to obtain the second isomorphism. The stabilizer of f^2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q})$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{d_2}$, hence acts transitively on B_2.

If $H_2 \cong A_{d_2}$, then

$$[F_1 \cap F_2 : \mathbb{Q}] = |\,\mathrm{Gal}(F_1 \cap F_2/\mathbb{Q})| = |\,\mathrm{Gal}(F_2/\mathbb{Q})|/|H_2| = 2,$$

so $F_1 \cap F_2$ is a quadratic field. Moreover we know that the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{d_1}$ that fixes $F_1 \cap F_2$ elementwise is a normal subgroup of index two, i.e., A_{d_1}.

k	$D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}$
24	144169
28	131 * 139
48	31 * 6093733 * 167561552439927072604682956628 1283
56	41132621 * 480332967287836872927374395092598554498069 41

Table 2

In this case, $F_1 \cap F_2$ is generated by the square root of the discriminant of $T_{n,k}(x)$ or $T_{n,2k}(x)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{d_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{d_2} | \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_1 = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_2\}.$$

In this case, the stabilizer of f^2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1F_2/\mathbb{Q})$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to A_{d_2}, which acts transitively on B_2.

If $d_2 \leq 4$, we have $\lfloor 2k/12 \rfloor \leq 4$, so $k \leq 28$. It suffices to show that for $12 \leq k \leq 28$, $F_1 \cap F_2 = \mathbb{Q}$. We only need to check the cases $(k, 2k) = (24, 48), (28, 56)$ since for all other cases $\dim \mathcal{S}_k = 1$ and $F_1 = \mathbb{Q}$. We check these two cases by showing that no prime ramifies in $F_1 \cap F_2$. Then we obtain that $F_1 \cap F_2 = \mathbb{Q}$ by Minkowski theorem. Indeed, if p is a prime that ramifies in $F_1 \cap F_2$, then p ramifies in F_1 and F_2. Let $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{d_1}$ be the d_1 roots of the polynomial $T_{n,k}(x)$. Note that the splitting field F_1 of $T_{n,k}(x)$ can be written as the composite field of the d_1 isomorphic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$, then we see that p ramifies in each $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$ by the tower property for ramification. Thus $p | D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}$, where $D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}$ is the discriminant of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$. Similarly $p | D_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_j)}$, where $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{d_2}$ are the d_2 roots of the polynomial $T_{n,2k}(x)$. Therefore $p | \gcd(D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}, D_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_j)})$. Thus it suffices to check that $\gcd(D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}, D_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_j)}) = 1$ for the two cases. One verifies this directly by Table 2, where the first column is the weight and the second column is the discriminant $D_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}$ or $D_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_j)}$ computed by Sage [5]. □

Now Theorem 4.2 follows easily by combining Lemmas 4.6-4.8. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Matthew Stover for his guidance and encouragement on this research. Without his support, this paper could never come into being. The author also would like to thank Professor Benjamin Linowitz for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

[1] A. O. L. Atkin and J. Lehner. Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$. *Math. Ann.*, 185:134–160, 1970.

[2] Jeffrey Beyerl, Kevin James, and Hui Xue. Divisibility of an eigenform by an eigenform. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(1):29–38, 2014.

[3] Jan H Bruinier, Winfried Kohnen, and Ken Ono. The arithmetic of the values of modular functions and the divisors of modular forms. *Compositio Mathematica*, 140(03):552–566, 2004.

[4] J. B. Conrey and D. W. Farmer. Hecke operators and the nonvanishing of L-functions. In *Topics in number theory (University Park, PA, 1997)*, volume 467 of *Math. Appl.*, pages 143–150. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.

[5] The Sage Developers. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.10)*, 2016. `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[6] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.

[7] W. Duke. When is the product of two Hecke eigenforms an eigenform? In *Number theory in progress, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997)*, pages 737–741. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.

[8] Brad A. Emmons. Products of Hecke eigenforms. *J. Number Theory*, 115(2):381–393, 2005.

[9] Eknath Ghate. On monomial relations between Eisenstein series. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 15(2):71–79, 2000.

[10] Haruzo Hida and Yoshitaka Maeda. Non-abelian base change for totally real fields. *Pacific J. Math.*, (Special Issue):189–217, 1997. Olga Taussky-Todd: in memoriam.

[11] Matthew Leander Johnson. Hecke eigenforms as products of eigenforms. *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(7):2339–2362, 2013.

[12] Yuri Ivanovic Manin and Alexei A. Panchishkin. *Introduction to modern number theory*, volume 49 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2005. Fundamental problems, ideas and theories, Translated from the Russian.

[13] FKC Rankin and HPF Swinnerton-Dyer. On the zeros of eisenstein series. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(2):169–170, 1970.

[14] Joseph Richey and Noah Shutty. Polynomial identities on eigenforms. *Journal of Number Theory*, 158:333 – 347, 2016.

[15] J.-P. Serre. *A course in arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. Translated from the French, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7.

[16] Goro Shimura. *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, volume 11 of *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. Reprint of the 1971 original, Kanô Memorial Lectures, 1.